Welcome to my TED Talk

Vincent O'Sullivan's last words to me were (and this is a real email):

"It would be worth trying to write about one day... why contemporary poetry, at least in English, generally finds it so difficult to accommodate politics? Is it because it seems too 'obvious' for a tradition that has now become so allusive, that poets in fact have become a bit scared of such directness and commitment? The seductions of post-modernism almost make post-politics obligatory. But that's another story."

I missed his last session at Verb because my flight was delayed and don't know if he ever wrote that book, cos I don't read books about poetry, or books of poetry, or poetry journals, or books.

I will be bludgeoned to death by a fury of falling books that I am in but which I still haven't read, my own name will slice my head wide open.

I just read articles, online, about

- civilisation collapsing like a face
- public-private-partnership governance and procurement standards in the construction of the new Death Star
- the Manifesto on Algorithmic Sabotage by the Algorithmic Sabotage Research Group of course
- how best to talk about murdering billionaires
- the fire in the hills
- am I an extremist?
- the fire in the hills
- is it still going? or
- the fire in the hills only writes about vengeance, and I only write about how to do revolution and dictatorship effectively and ineffectively cos I like to get things right and also wrong at the same time, arriving at XXXMas like I've opened Tinder with my nose like I'm ready for the seductions of post-modernism to make post-politics obligatory like we forgot the triple-X rating includes not just the power of love but the power of incredible violence

People are concerned about tone issues when talking about murdering billionaires, but it's not important how skilfully you dive through the burning hoop for points on the board of a game long over - that guy? not a gun, it's just the groundskeeper, slow in the distance with a long trash picker

When I ask 'how should we talk about killing billionaires' I don't mean so your opinion appears morally defensible to yourself and others I mean so you don't get arrested even though the public is increasingly finally down with killing billionaires.

Disclaimer:

I am not a lawyer, this poem is not to be taken as legal advice.

I do need more legal advice and search engines don't work anymore another reason why we should kill the billionaires.

'how should we talk about killing billionaires' how to talk about the ways to stab them through their ten thousand eyes scanning the crooked timelines of a new gilded age as they stroke their white cats with names gleeful icons of eeeevil Palantir, Sauron, The Metaverse, The Torment Nexus, cats that murder as is their nature how should we talk about killing their owners. whose reading age lags so far behind their bone density? The answer of course is QUIETLY. And I don't say 'not at all' the alternative to not speaking out loud of killing billionaires, is writing about killing billionaires, and then you gotta start with the data security encryption keys, speed-reading review sites for best secure end-to-end messaging systems of 2024 not currently owned by a billionaire, burning paper discreetly in public without starting a fire in the hills -

when all we wanted
was to enjoy a few jokes at the Christmas party
about how we should talk
about the fact that
we should kill billionaires.
swarmed as we are by tiny echoes
of our own outlines
the very gestures of our texture
made of miniature mouths
chewing the borders of our bodies
tunnelbearing into sweetmeat brains
til we try to kill
only phantoms wearing our own faces
instead of billionaires!

By the time you lock down your comms and walk carefully backwards with a broom, sweeping your footprints away, you find you're suddenly prepared for securely making plans to kill billionaires!

Another perverse incentive at the heart of the global authoritarian capitalist superstructure.

How should we talk about murdering a New Zealand citizen who bought his way in then robbed our government, who seized and destroyed our favourite websites because we were having too much of a good time in the comments talking about murdering billionaires? I will take the whataboutism defence, I have talked about murdering non-billionaires too and nobody cared, cos I'm a petty bitch.

like an actual journalist who kept spreading in print that I use a fake Chinese name to make myself seem more ethnic I had to dox myself to prove him wrong. In lieu of receiving an apology in a flashfic, I trapped him in a rear naked choke at dusk, and once unconscious, snapped his neck with his own garden hose and the leverage of a sturdy boot, to make it look like an accident.

The ending was maybe the cruelest part, I wrote:
...there was no way that his body would be found by anyone tonight... Everyone knew he was recently divorced and had no friends.

I wrote a poem called 'The time a guy laughed at my first ever blowjob and so I drowned him in the Waitematā'.

he lives with his parents and is not embarrassed, because this is
The North Shore where houses are eagles clinging to cliffs, bronze claws glinting at sunset
You can clamber down from rock to rock like a mouse, still in your underwear and slip, nervous, overheated into the cool lick and tug of tides and see the Sugar Factory looming, and the lights of Aukilani your only friend in sight, so far off, her sparks broken up dancing with their doubles in the water, and the accidental rocks, both jagged and blunt.

Now you're Tom Ripley ready to do crimes in the dark.

Treading water, I look up to the house where it happened My memory looks back from inside the view from the bed, double-doors opening like a mouth gasping onto the darkness, the night's resting bitchface, staring hard in the background of a skinny white dick.

Now, after the deed down here, beyond the bobbing body, the bedroom's blank face tilts down and says, 'I didn't see shit. Who has a balcony in their bedroom overlooking the harbour, and laughs at an awkward teenage nerd's blowjob? We should murder them.'

means motives opportunity an abandoned mouth of Monopoly Money I did read *a* book, once, by Eleanor Catton I thought it would be about murdering billionaires It's set in the hills, is there still a fire in the hills? We can only guess it's out, fallen from the headlines but you know there will only ever be more fires in the hills. The book turned out to be about being murdered by a billionaire. Reading is so disappointing. I have become a podcast guy

I listened to sixty - six zero - episodes of the French Revolution twenty episodes of the Haitian Revolution (best revolution) eight episodes of the Paris Commune (pour one out) *one hundred and fourteen episodes* of the Russian Revolution Margaret Atwood pops up in my emails warning me of Revolution addiction and this never ends well, she says I am the oracle of history oh I know, I say, what if they came for the billionaires and I said nothing because it was me who was gleefully murdering billionaires? What are you still doing on Substack anyway, Margaret Atwood, it's full of nazis. I rant and plead, and history's silent smile arcs down

to pierce your dreams like gravity's rainbow yes I know about the endings repeated on loop yes but yes but if we are very very good, can't we have a just little bit of class war, as a treat? can we have a beginning, just one more time?

Strip the letters off the skyscrapers repatriate them to our mouths and our memories to erotic fanfic, to sonnets, to international humanitarian law Pull down their statues and pile on top and ride them dragged through the street like the sleigh in the Santa parade, kick their heads on loop in the Al-Jaz B-roll rip them out of Vogue, shoot their planes out' the sky a drawn out chemtrail, bending slow cos time is speeding up suddenly-all-at-once who has enough left in the bank to wait for the Hague I ask history, who? she shoots past me, backwards deleting her fingerprints as she types, and she says all of you just shut the fuck up til your lawyer gets here.

But I say out loud to Vincent O'Sullivan's ghost We should murder billionaires, right? he says 'For the record, I gave you that essay prize for the utopian multicultural liberalism of 2004 not the accelerationist violence of 2024 you should have read my book' and I'm like, uh which book

I ask Kathleen Hanna of Bikini Kill she says Get off the internet! I'll meet you in the street! Get off the internet! DESTROY THE RIGHT WING

I ask the Algorithmic Sabotage Research Group, it says: "destruction is the power that is left. The only thing worth a penny. Everything else will pass and be gone. Only their destruction will last."

I ask Marcuse he says (in a German accent I can't do) 'Murder is not a political weapon'
The physical liquidation of single individuals, even the most prominent, does not undermine the normal functioning of the capitalist system itself.
I say but *Herbert* what if I really want to murder a billionaire just for the pleasure of it to *feel* my *fantasy* he says
The full force of the pleasure principle not only survives in the unconscious but also affects in manifold ways

the very reality which has superseded [it]. The return of the repressed makes up the tabooed and subterranean history of civilization.

I ask the Crimes act, it says

no one shall be deemed to have a seditious intention only because he intends in good faith—

To point out, with a view to their removal, matters producing or having a tendency to produce feelings of hostility or ill will between different classes of persons.

Yes, we should intend in good faith to remove billionaires (by killing them)

I ask my old friend who is a senior government counterterror advisor if she wants to go for a walk perhaps I'll talk to her in the calm green room of the valley about killing billionaires she didn't reply yet, I think she knows the best thing she could say to me the best thing any of us can say is

I didn't see shit Remember that. I didn't see shit say it with me now I DIDN'T SEE SHIT

So: when I say

we should plan collectively as a nation to assassinate Peter Thiel the white supremacist Atlas Network billionaire venture capitalist who is: bankrolling the fascist takeover of a nuclear superpower sending robots to do genocide in Palestine sending AI to eliminate your wages, burn water, and lie and sending The Taxpayer's Union to make us stab ourselves in the eyes and beg for democracy to be euthanised, all to suck the glowing essence of life out of the earth and into his own pouch-pale body til he's Immortan Joe in a fucking bunker in Queenstown crowned all around by fire eating the hills

when I say

do your networks and logistics well in advance like NZ Secret Santa, and when you are sure and when you are ready, and his private jet is tracking towards the country, get an envelope and seal up all your goodbyes

when I say

you could lure him with a trail of rare earth minerals into a no-cellphone coverage zone deep in a special environmental area, say, a protected bush valley in a friendly iwi's out-of-the-way rural rohe, where I have a little caravan on the ridge, that I'm hoping to set up as an occasional artists' residence so New Zealand Asian writers can go for weekends away from their tiresome day jobs working for billionaires, so they can gaze into a quiet valley where rainbows dip and hide, and where they might consider entrapping and murdering a billionaire

when I say 'you should conspire to do a murder, you in particular'. the important thing is the line breaks which demonstrate that this is a poem. and a poem is only an idea that you might feel alive inside for its brief lifespan a little leaky caravan for surviving just one night tend aches from struggling through the mud your heart might wake up from its layer of dew rise, ready to open this gift - of a place where we can kill billionaires until the billionaires surrender.

In conclusion: when I say you should murder Peter Thiel the fascist billionaire You can show in court that no matter what I really meant this is a poem and so by definition according to the laws of English I can only be figuratively saying that you should murder Peter Thiel the fascist billionaire what I'm really saying - and this is how you're allowed to say it according to my DISCLAIMER: non-expert reading of threats to kill and sedition in the Crimes Act 1961 freedom of speech in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 and incitement in section 61 of, of course, the New Zealand Human Rights Act 1993 - what I'm really saying is:

we should murder billionaires.

Merry Christmas, and Good Night!